

LETTER

Reciprocal obligations for prevention of occupationally acquired tuberculosis among healthcare workers

As I began my work on occupationally acquired tuberculosis (TB), I was perturbed by a series of media reports on TB among healthcare workers (HCWs) in India (1–3). This included a report on the death of a resident doctor who was suffering from multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB. The risk of occupationally acquired TB is well documented. A few studies have reported an increased risk of TB among HCWs in developing countries, including India (4).

Ethical perspectives

Do HCWs have an ethical obligation to provide care to patients, even if it involves some degree of risk? This question is addressed in a World Health Organisation (WHO) document, entitled “Guidance on ethics of tuberculosis prevention, care and control” (5). Considering the risk faced by HCWs of acquiring TB, the document focuses on ensuring their safety.

The WHO document states that HCWs have an ethical obligation to provide care to patients. However, this obligation does not exist in isolation, as it assumes reciprocal obligations on the part of other stakeholders. It is equally important to consider the reciprocal obligations of governments and healthcare facilities to provide the minimum standards of safety for their HCWs (5). Their safety can be enhanced, for example, by training, the provision of supplies, equipment and support, the availability of infrastructure, and access to facilities for the diagnosis and treatment of TB. It is also important that HCWs are made aware of their working conditions, their roles and the risks they face. Further, they should be provided appropriate compensation, including insurance, for their services.

Public health perspectives

The newspaper reports that I came across highlighted a poor diet, poor living conditions, the strain of overwork and stress as responsible for the increased risk of TB among HCWs in India. A protein-rich diet and better living conditions were recommended to tackle the problem of TB transmission among HCWs. In this regard, it is important to understand the difference between TB as an infection and TB as a disease. Reducing the workload and improving the diet may help to reduce the risk of disease, but will not prevent infection. Hence, along with the interventions mentioned already, it is important to provide equipment, introduce procedures and create working conditions that will reduce the risk of TB infection.

The guidelines of WHO and the Government of India make mention of administrative controls, environmental controls and personal protective equipment. The guidelines are summarised in Table 1(6,7). These interventions have the collateral benefits of preventing the transmission of TB to other patients and offering protection from other airborne infections.

These interventions constitute the reciprocal obligations which need to be fulfilled by the healthcare system for the prevention of TB among HCWs. If the interventions for infection control are not in place, HCWs should appeal to the senior authorities to do the needful. It is the responsibility of the government and healthcare system to ensure that patients should have no difficulty receiving care. If we expect HCWs to care for patients, the healthcare system should understand and acknowledge the reciprocal obligation to take proactive steps to provide safe working conditions for the HCWs.

Acknowledgement: *Dr Geeta S Pardeshi is supported by the BJGMC JHU HIV Program, funded by the Fogarty International Center, NIH(grant#1D43TW009574). The content is solely the responsibility of the author and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.*

Geeta Pardeshi, Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Byramjee Jeejeebhoy Government Medical College, Sassoon Road, Pune 411 005, Maharashtra, INDIA e-mail: kanugeet@gmail.com

Table 1
Interventions for prevention of occupationally acquired tuberculosis infection among HCWs

Levels	Interventions
Administrative controls	Formulate a plan for airborne infection control Carry out assessment of risk in facilities Screen patients to identify symptomatics Segregate suspects Fast-track diagnosis and treatment Carry out surveillance for TB among HCWs Introduce interventions to improve cough etiquette Monitor implementation of plan
Environmental controls	Ventilation Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation Filtration
Personal protective equipment	Particulate respirators for high-risk settings

References

1. Pinglay P. Inverse Chokehold: doctors at public hospitals in Mumbai are getting tuberculosis. *Outlook*. 2013 Aug 19 [cited 2015 Apr 4]. Available from: <http://www.outlookindia.com/article.aspx?287300>.
2. Anuradha Varanasi. 13 Interns, 7 resident doctors contracted TB in KEM Hospital this year. *Mid-day*. December 31, 2013. Available from: <http://www.mid-day.com/articles/13-interns-7-resident-doctors-contracted-tb-in-kem-hospital-this-year/15008024> (accessed on 17th March 2014).
3. Jha S. Yet another doctor of Nair hospital contracts MDR TB. *The Free Press Journal*, January 30, 2014 [cited 2015 Mar 20]. Available from: <http://freepressjournal.in/yet-another-doctor-of-nair-hospital-contracts-mdr-tb/>
4. Joshi R, Reingold AL, Menzies D, Pai M. Tuberculosis among health-care workers in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2006;3(12):e494.
5. World Health Organisation. Guidance on ethics of tuberculosis prevention, care and control [Internet]. WHO;2010;20–21.
6. World Health Organisation. Guidelines for prevention of tuberculosis in health care facilities in resource limited settings [Internet]. WHO;1999 [cited 2015 Mar 20]. Available from: http://www.who.int/tb/publications/who_tb_99_269/en/
7. Directorate General of Health Services, Guidelines on Airborne Infection Control in Healthcare and Other Settings In the context of tuberculosis and other airborne infections. New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare ;April 2010.

THANK YOU, REVIEWERS!

Besides the constant support of internal reviewers, the journal has also had, in 2014-15, the invaluable contribution of external peer reviewers who have been listed below. Our sincere gratitude is due to them all:

Aasim Ahmad, Akoijam Joy, Ali Lanewala, Alireza Bagheri, Alok Sarin, Anant Phadke, Angus Dawson, Anindita Majumdar, Anoop Thekkuveetil, Anurag Bhargava, Anwar Naqvi, Astrid Lobo Gajiwala, Bindu Desai, Bushra Shirazi, Chayanika Shah, CE Karunakaran, Chinu Srinivasan, David Thambu, Deepa Venkatachalam, Dhanwanti Nayak, Divya Bhagianadh, Ehsan Shamsi Gooshki, Faisal Khan, Fatima Castillo, Gail Andrew, Gauri Raje, Gopal Guru, GD Ravindran, George Thomas, Gracy Andrew, Ingrid Mijleteig, Irene Schipper, Jacqueline Chin Joon Lin, Jagdeesh Narayan Reddy, Jamshed Akhtar, Jayakrishnan T, Joe Varghese, Jose Dorea, K Srinivasan, Kanchana Mahadevan, Lopa Mehta, MK Mani, Murad Khan, Nandini Vallath, Navjeevan Singh, Neha Madhiwala, Nerges Mistry, Nikhil Govind, Nishant Irudayadason, Nishtha Desai, Nithya Gogtay, Padma Bhate-Deosthali, Padmaja Marathe, Padmaja Mawani, Peush Sahni, Priya Satalkar, Radha Ramaswamy, Rakhi Ghoshal, Rajan Madhok, Rajan Patil, Raman Kutty, Rana Muzaffar, Ravi Duggal, Ravindra Ghooi, Richard Cash, Rubina Naqvi, Rukmini Sen, Sabine Woehlke, Salah-Ud-Din Khan, Samiran Nundy, Sangeeta Patel, Sanjeev Lewin, Shubha Ranganathan, Sreekumar Nellikapilly, Sridevi Seetharam, Subrata Mukherjee, Sujit Chandy, Sukanya Rangamani, Sunil Shroff, Udaya Mishra, Urmila Thatte, Usha Raman, Veena Johari, Vina Vaswani, Vrinda Marwah, Waqar Kashif.